Appln. No. 09/630,584 Amdt. Dated October 13, 2005

Reply to Office Action of May 20, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the

present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action,

and amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe and claim the subject matter

which Applicants regard as the invention.

Claims 3–7 and 10 remain in this application. All other claims have been canceled.

Claims 3-7 and 10 have been allowed.

Claims 20 and 21 were objected to for improper antecedent basis. The claims have been

canceled, making the rejection moot.

Claims 1, 8, 13-16, 18 and 20-22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Barber et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,471,518). For the following reasons, the rejection

is respectfully traversed:

Claims 1, 8, 13-16, 18 and 20-22 have been canceled, making the rejection moot.

Accordingly, is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance

and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in a

condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the

undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge the same

to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 32857.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

Robert F. Bodi – Reg. No. 48,540

1801 East 9th Street **Suite 1200** Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108 (216) 579-1700

Date: October 13, 2005

Page 5 of 5